

## Effect of Biomix Inoculation and Chemical Fertilizers on Yield and Quality Parameters of Pearlmillet Hybrids

Yamank, Meena Sewhag, Priti Malik\* and Babli

Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar- 125004 (Haryana), INDIA

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: [priti.malikhau@gmail.com](mailto:priti.malikhau@gmail.com)

Received: 5.07.2017 | Revised: 8.08.2017 | Accepted: 10.08.2017

### ABSTRACT

An attempt was made at Research Area of Agronomy, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana (India) situated at 29°10' N latitude and 75° 46' E longitude at an elevation of 215.2 m above mean sea level during Kharif 2016 to notice the effect of biomix inoculation and chemical fertilizers on yield and quality parameters of pearlmillet hybrids. Combined application of RDF and biomix inoculation significantly influenced the protein content in grain of pearlmillet hybrids. Protein content of grain in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (12.34 %) was significantly higher as compared to the other treatments. Grain protein content in the treatment F<sub>6</sub> (12.34 %) was significantly higher as it was compared to other treatments. However, the difference in grain protein content among treatments F<sub>6</sub>, F<sub>5</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> were at par statistically. Lowest protein content in the grain was obtained in treatment of F<sub>1</sub> (9.0 %). Highest grain yield was recorded in the treatment F<sub>6</sub> (30.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was recorded at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (29.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>5</sub> (28.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearlmillet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (28.64q ha<sup>-1</sup>) has produced significantly higher grain yield than the other two hybrids. This was might be due to their better vegetative growth in respect of plant height, number of ear head and of bolder seeds.

**Key words:** Pearlmillet, Growth parameters, Biomix inoculation, Chemical fertilizers

### INTRODUCTION

Pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* [L.] R. Br. emend. Stuntz) is the cereal crop which is cultivated in dryland area of India because of its capacity to do well under drought, higher temperature, low soil fertility level and medium salinity. Pearlmillet was accounted in first ranks under the millets category in India, in the terms of area, production and in

productivity. In today's condition area under coarse cereals goes on decreasing and got shifted towards pulses and oilseeds in the Kharif season. Pearlmillet, recognized as an important Kharif crop is a dual-purpose crop. So, plays an important role in the integrated agricultural and animal husbandry economy of the dry area of the country.

**Cite this article:** Yamank, Sewhag, M., Malik, P. and Babli, Effect of biomix inoculation and chemical fertilizers on yield and quality parameters of pearlmillet hybrids, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(4): 2169-2172 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5819>

It responds favorably to the application of fertilizers particularly nitrogenous, which is to be supplied mostly through the chemical fertilizers and farmyard manure. Chemical fertilization of crops involves higher cost, whereas use of biofertilizers is cheaper, renewable and it contributes to the development of strategies which don't lead to rise in the consumption of non-renewable form of energy.

At farmer's field the average yield of pearl millet is obtained low because of poor plant stand. Pearl millet crop also suffers badly due to the lower soil fertility and less water availability, thereby reducing its yield potential. Advanced hybrids play significant role in augmenting the yield of pearl millet. Moreover, very less is known about the response of combined use of chemical fertilizers and biomix inoculation on various pearl millet genotypes in irrigated semi arid environment. Keeping these points under the consideration, present investigation was taken on Effect of biomix inoculation and chemical fertilizers on yield and quality parameters of pearl millet hybrids.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season of 2016 replicated thrice with the split plot design at Research Area of Agronomy, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana (India) situated at 29°10' N latitude and 75° 46' E longitude at an elevation of 215.2 m above the mean sea level. Following treatments were taken as in the main plot F<sub>1</sub>: Control, F<sub>2</sub> : *Biomix* (*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + *PSB*), F<sub>3</sub>: 75 % RDF, F<sub>4</sub>: RDF (150 kg N /ha and 62.5 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /ha), F<sub>5</sub>: 75% RDF + *Biomix*, F<sub>6</sub>: RDF + *Biomix* and in sub plot H<sub>1</sub>: HHB 234, H<sub>2</sub>: HHB197, H<sub>3</sub>: HHB223 in a split plot design and 5 kg/ha seed rate was taken for the pearl millet sowing by keeping 45 cm row to row as spacing. Protein content (%) in grain and stover were calculated by multiplying the nitrogen percent in grain and stover with 6.25, a conversion factor for the estimation of protein content. Protein yield (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found using following formula:

$$\text{Protein yield (Kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Protein content (\%)} \times \text{Grain yield (Kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{100}$$

Each plot was harvested and then sun dried separately. Total weight of the plants (stover + ear head) from the net plot was recorded and then computed as biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Every plot was harvested and then threshed separately. Grain yield from every net plot was recorded and then reported as grain yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. By deducting grain weight from the total produce of individual plot, stover yield for every plot was recorded as q ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data pertaining to the protein content in grain (Table 13 and fig 7) showed that different combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation significantly influenced protein content in grain in case of pearl millet hybrids. protein content in grain of treatment F<sub>6</sub> (12.34 %) was significantly higher when compared to other treatments. However, the difference in the

protein content in grain between treatments F<sub>6</sub>, F<sub>5</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> were at par statistically. Minimum protein content in grain was found in treatment F<sub>1</sub> (9.0 %). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>1</sub> recorded significantly higher protein content in case of grain than other hybrids (H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>). But the difference in grain protein content of pearl millet hybrids H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> were at par statistically.

The data presented in Table-1 revealed that protein yield of pearl millet hybrids were significantly affected due to the various treatments. Significantly higher protein yield was observed in case of F<sub>6</sub> when compared to other treatments. But the difference in protein yield between treatment F<sub>6</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> was at par statistically. Minimum protein yield was obtained in F<sub>1</sub> (182.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Among the different pearl millet hybrids, H<sub>3</sub> showed significantly higher protein yield than

the other hybrids (H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>). Whereas, the difference in protein yield of pearl millet hybrids H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> was at par statistically. This may be due to higher yield attributing

characters and grain yield in pearl millet hybrid HHB 223. Corroborative findings have also been showed by Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Sewhag<sup>4</sup> and Yadav<sup>5</sup>.

**Table 1: Effect of different fertility management and hybrids on protein content and yield of pearl millet**

| Treatments                               | Protein content in grain (%) | Protein yield (kg/ha) |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Fertility management</b>              |                              |                       |
| F <sub>1</sub> : Control                 | 9.00                         | 182.36                |
| F <sub>2</sub> : <i>Biomix</i>           | 9.86                         | 208.63                |
| F <sub>3</sub> : 75 % RDF                | 10.88                        | 274.61                |
| F <sub>4</sub> : RDF                     | 11.80                        | 350.30                |
| F <sub>5</sub> : 75% RDF + <i>Biomix</i> | 11.04                        | 318.34                |
| F <sub>6</sub> : RDF + <i>Biomix</i>     | 12.34                        | 379.48                |
| SEm ±                                    | 0.516                        | 15.95                 |
| CD at 5%                                 | 1.65                         | 50.94                 |
| <b>Hybrids</b>                           |                              |                       |
| H <sub>1</sub> : HHB 234                 | 11.46                        | 273.21                |
| H <sub>2</sub> : HHB 197                 | 10.20                        | 260.10                |
| H <sub>3</sub> : HHB 223                 | 10.88                        | 311.60                |
| SEm ±                                    | 0.39                         | 12.44                 |
| CD at 5%                                 | 1.1832                       | 37.78                 |

**Table 2: Effect of different fertility management and hybrids on yield, harvest and attraction index of pearl millet**

| Treatments                               | Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |            |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|------------|
|                                          | Grain                       | Stover | Biological |
| F <sub>1</sub> : Control                 | 20.24                       | 48.44  | 68.68      |
| F <sub>2</sub> : <i>Biomix</i>           | 21.16                       | 50.84  | 71.99      |
| F <sub>3</sub> : 75 % RDF                | 25.24                       | 63.36  | 88.60      |
| F <sub>4</sub> : RDF                     | 29.65                       | 69.49  | 99.14      |
| F <sub>5</sub> : 75% RDF + <i>Biomix</i> | 28.81                       | 64.66  | 93.48      |
| F <sub>6</sub> : RDF + <i>Biomix</i>     | 30.79                       | 69.67  | 100.46     |
| SEm ±                                    | 0.75                        | 0.71   | 1.22       |
| CD at 5%                                 | 2.41                        | 2.28   | 3.91       |
| H <sub>1</sub> : HHB 234                 | 23.81                       | 56.07  | 79.88      |
| H <sub>2</sub> : HHB 197                 | 25.50                       | 63.12  | 88.62      |
| H <sub>3</sub> : HHB 223                 | 28.64                       | 64.03  | 92.67      |
| SEm ±                                    | 0.48                        | 1.47   | 1.83       |
| CD at 5%                                 | 1.43                        | 4.32   | 5.39       |

Data pertaining to the grain yield of pearl millet hybrids as influenced by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation is presented in Table 2. The grain yield of pearl millet hybrids were significantly influenced due to various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation. Grain yield was recorded maximum in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (30.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was observed at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (29.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>5</sub> (28.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (28.64 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded significantly higher grain yield than other

hybrids. Least grain yield was recorded in hybrid H<sub>1</sub> (23.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Higher grain yield in case of pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (HHB 223) than rest of the two cultivars might be because of their better vegetative growth in terms of plant height, number of earhead and having bolder seeds. The differences in case of grain yield of pearl millet cultivars have also been seen by Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Sewhag<sup>4</sup> and Yadav<sup>5</sup>.

The data of stover yield in case of pearl millet under various treatments (Table 2) showed that stover yield was significantly

affected by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation. Higher stover yield was significantly seen in treatment F<sub>6</sub>. Difference between the treatments F<sub>6</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> in case of stover yield were however non-significant. Among various Pearl millet hybrids, H<sub>3</sub> showed significantly higher stover yield as compared to the other hybrids (64.03 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The difference between hybrid H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> for stover yield was seen not significant. This enhancement in the stover yield may be attributed because of increased height, leaf area and dry matter production. In case of inorganic + biofertilizers treatment (T<sub>9</sub>) the positive effects of seed bacterization are attributed mainly due to N<sub>2</sub> fixation and other factors for example release of hormones, promotion of PGPS and also nutrient uptake. Results of the almost similar nature were also observed by Kumar *et al.*<sup>3</sup> and Jadhav *et al.*<sup>1</sup>.

Biological yield as affected by various combinations of RDF and *biomix* inoculation and pearl millet hybrids are showed in Table 2. Perusal of data showed that the biological yield of pearl millet got in treatment F<sub>6</sub> (100.46 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly higher as compared to the other treatments. Whereas, the difference in biological yield between the treatment F<sub>6</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> was at par statistically. Least biological yield was obtained in case of treatment F<sub>1</sub> (68.68 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (92.67 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher biological yield than the other hybrids. Whereas, the difference in case of biological yield of hybrids H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub> were statistically at par. Least biological yield was obtained in case of hybrid H<sub>1</sub> (79.88 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

### CONCLUSION

Higher number of ear head per plant was recorded with the treatment F<sub>6</sub> (2.76) and least

in F<sub>1</sub> (1.77). Significantly longer ear length (25.39 cm) as compared to the rest of the treatments and it was seen at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (25.14 cm). Perusal of data showed that 1000 grain weight of pearl millet was found statistically higher in case of treatment F<sub>6</sub> as compared to the remaining treatments. Highest grain yield was observed in case of treatment F<sub>6</sub> (30.79 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was seen at par with treatment F<sub>4</sub> (29.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and F<sub>5</sub> (28.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pearl millet hybrid H<sub>3</sub> (28.64 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher grain yield than the other two hybrids.

### REFERENCES

1. Jadhav, A. S., Shaikh, A. A. and Harinarayan, G., Response of rainfed Pearl millet to inoculation with nitrogen fixing bacteria, *Indian J. Agric.Sci.* **61 (4):** 268-271 (1991).
2. Kumar, M., Response of irrigated pearl millet hybrids to nitrogen, M.Sc. Thesis, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar (2001).
3. Kumar, P., Hooda, R. S., Kumar, S. and Singh, K., Effect of nitrogen levels and biofertilizers on dry matter production and attraction index in pearl millet, *Haryana J. Agron.* **28 (1& 2):** 34-37 (2012).
4. Sewhag, M., Singh, H., Hooda, R.S. and Khippal, A., Response of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*(L.) R. Br. Emend. Stuntz) composition to nitrogen under rainfed conditions, *Crop Res.* **26 (1):** 67-70 (2003).
5. Yadav, M. and Reddy, A. P. K., Productivity of Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) as influenced by planting pattern and nitrogen levels during summer, *J. Res. ANGRAU* **37 (1&2):** 34-37 (2009).